

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subject the impany to certain additional company law rules.

Signed

Dated

June 2003

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

An Executive Agency of the Department of Trade and Industry

its Form 1/77



Request for grant of a patent (Se notes on the back of this form. You can alsoget an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

The Patent Office

THE PATENT OFFICE 13 SEP 2002 MEMPORT

14SEP02 E748039-1 D02879. P01/7700 0.00-0221240.5

> The Patent Office Cardiff Road Newport Gwent NP10 8QQ

Your reference PHNL020922 0221240.5 latent application number (The Patent Office will fill in this part) 1 5 KRD 1990 Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames) KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. GROENEWOUDSEWEG 1 5621 BA EINDHOVEN THE NETHERLANDS Pitents ADP Number (if you know it) If the applicant is a corporate body, give the 1419294001 country/state of its incorporation THE NETHERLANDS Title of the invention CURRENT SOURCE FOR CRYPTOGRAPHIC PROCESSOR Name of your agent (if you have one) "Address for service" in the United Kingdom Richard C TURNER Philips Intellectual Property and Standards to which all correspondence should be sent Cross Oak Lane Redhill

(including the postcode)

If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country

and the date of filing of the or of each of these

earlier applications and (if you know it) the or

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

Country

Priority Application number (if you know it)

Date of filing (day/month/year)

each application number If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier

Number of earlier application

Surrey RH1 5HA

Date of filing (day/month/year)

Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer "Yes" if:

application

any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or

there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or

any named applicant is a corporate body. See note (d))

YES

'atents Form 1/77

Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.

Do not count copies of the same document.

Continuation sheets of this form

_ Description

10

Claims(s)

6

Abstract

1

Drawings

3 PM

If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item:

Priority Documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right

to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)

Request for preliminary examination and

search (Patents Form 9/77)

Request for substantive examination

(Patents Form 10/77)

Any other documents

(Please specify)

I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Date 12.9.0

2. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

01293 815492

(R. Turner)

Varning

1.

Ifter an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or ommunication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, 'ection 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the 'atent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same rivention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been evoked.

Votes

-) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
-) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
-) "If there is not enough space for all-the relevant details on any-part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- !) If you have answered "Yes" Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed ---
- e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
-) For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

DESCRIPTION

CURRENT SOURCE FOR CRYPTOGRAPHIC PROCESSOR

5

The present invention relates to cryptographic devices such as those typically installed in smart cards and other devices, which may have vulnerability to power analysis attacks to obtain information therefrom.

10

15

Many cryptographic devices are implemented using microprocessors and associated logic on devices such as smart cards. It is often necessary to ensure that important data stored on smart cards, such as cryptographic keys and the like, is kept secure. A number of power analysis techniques have been published that facilitate the obtaining of data from the smart card that would otherwise, in the course of normal input and output operations, be securely encrypted. In particular, analysis of the power consumption of the logic performing an encryption or decryption operation may be used to establish the round keys used in the encryption or decryption operation.

20

25

30

Such techniques are discussed, for example, in Kocher *et al*: "Differential Power Analysis", www.cryptography.com and Messerges *et al*: "Investigations of Power analysis Attacks on Smartcards", Proceedings of USENIX Workshop on Smartcard Technology, May 1999, pp. 151–161. The power consumption of a smart card is conventionally strongly related to the number of bit transitions occurring at each clock pulse. Statistical analysis of the power dissipation of the smart card during successive cycles of a cryptographic algorithm has been shown to yield sufficient information to obtain the cryptographic keys in use.

Differential power analysis attacks rely on correlation between the power dissipation traces and the data processing operations of the processor logic and the ability to average many such traces over time.

It is an object of the present invention to provide a power supply and mode of operation of a cryptographic processor that improves the security of cryptographic processors against power analysis attacks.

According to one aspect, the present invention provides a data processing device including:

a processor,

5

10

20

25

30

a charge storage device coupled to the processor,

a current source for supplying the processor with operating current, and adapted to vary its output current independently of the instantaneous power demand of the processor.

According to another aspect, the present invention provides a method of operating a data processing device, comprising the steps of:

drawing current from an external supply; and

cyclically apportioning drawn current between a charge storage device
and a processor within the data processing device such that the drawn current
varies independently of the instantaneous power demand of the processor.

Embodiments of the present invention will now be described by way of example and with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 illustrates a power supply for a processor according to a preferred embodiment of the invention;

Figure 2 shows a schematic diagram illustrating the various functional blocks of the power supply of figure 1; and

Figure 3 is a graph illustrating the current switching control of a preferred power supply.

With reference to figure 1, various possible embodiments of a DC – DC converting power supply for a cryptographic processor are now described.

A current source 10 draws current from a supply voltage V_{CC} and supplies a current I_{DD} to a processor 11. The processor 11 may be any form of data processing logic circuitry. A decoupling capacitor C receives current from the current source 10 when the current supplied by the current source 10

exceeds the requirements of the processor 11, and supplies current to the processor when the current supplied by the current source falls short of the requirements of the processor. The function of capacitor C could also be implemented by any suitable alternative charge storage mechanism.

5

10

15

20

25

30

In a first embodiment, the current source 10 comprises a first current source 12 which supplies substantially constant current I_{CC} at two different current levels. A first one of these current levels is higher than an average demand of the processor and the second one of these current levels is lower than an average demand of the processor 11. Switching between the current levels occurs on a periodic or aperiodic basis as will be illustrated later.

During periods in which the first one of the current levels is being delivered, the voltage V_{DD} supplied to the processor will rise, as excess current is stored in the capacitor C. During periods in which the second one of the current levels is being delivered, the voltage V_{DD} will fall, as the shortfall in current is supplied (discharged) from capacitor C.

The result is a saw tooth voltage V_{DD} . Over a period of time, the average current I_{CC} supplied by the current source 10 will be equal to the average current demand I_{DD} of the processor. However, it will be noted that the instantaneous values of current I_{CC} supplied by the current source 12 very rarely match the instantaneous values of current demand I_{DD} of the processor 11.

The switching of the current levels of the current source 12 is determined independently of the instantaneous activities of the processor, so that the frequency and phase of the saw tooth voltage V_{DD} do not reflect the immediate activities of the processor. In other words, frequency and phase of the voltage V_{DD} are not linked to an internal clock frequency of the processor, nor to data manipulation operations being carried out by the processor 11.

The control of the current source 12 typically will also include some hysteresis, which is advantageous in maintaining a lack of correlation between the processor activity and the frequency and phase of the saw tooth voltage V_{DD} .

The processor 11 is controlled by an internal oscillator clock of which the frequency is voltage dependent. Typically, the lower the voltage supply V_{DD} to the processor, the lower the clock frequency of the processor. Conversely, the higher the voltage supply V_{DD} to the processor, the higher the clock frequency of the processor. This means that the duration of any procedure performed by the processor (for example, a RSA calculation or a DES / AES encryption / decryption operation) will depend upon the level of the supply voltage V_{DD} .

In a differential power analysis attack, it is necessary to align many successive power traces so that corresponding processing operations are aligned in the time axis and can be averaged. This becomes very much more difficult when the frequency of operation of the processor is continually varying, because the effective time base of successive power traces is continually changing.

10

15

20

25

30

The processor might also be asynchronously designed, which will also result in the duration of any procedure performed by the processor being dependent upon the level of supply voltage V_{DD} .

In a further embodiment, the current source 10 may include, in addition to bi-level current source 12, a second current source 13 which is adapted to deliver a pseudo-noise current component $l_{\rm N}$ to the current supply. The noise current $l_{\rm N}$ varies on a random or pseudo-random basis. The second current source 13 may be operated in a number of different ways.

When I_N is controlled by a pseudo-noise generator it will hide the trigger points that are necessary in a differential power analysis attack in order to provide a reference point on the time axis, to align multiple traces for averaging. The pseudo-noise generator therefore makes triggering of suitable analysis equipment (eg. a digital sampling oscilloscope) even more difficult.

If the clock of the pseudo-noise generator 13 has a fixed frequency, then analysis of power traces by adding a number of power traces will filter out the noise. However, the bigger the amplitude of the noise current I_N , the more traces are needed to remove the noise and the greater the blurring of target

patterns and spikes in the power traces. Therefore, the noise current I_N is preferably a significant proportion of the bi-level current I_{CC} .

Preferably, the peak value of the pseudo-noise current I_N is smaller than the bi-level current I_{CC} supplied by the first current source 12. In a preferred arrangement, the peak noise current I_N lies approximately in the range 5 to 10% of the bi-level current I_{CC} supplied by the first current source 12.

In a preferred arrangement, the pseudo-noise generator 13 is initialised for each instruction sequence of the processor 11. If the pseudo-noise generator is initialised for each instruction sequence of the processor, then the noise pattern will be the same in each power trace for that instruction sequence. Thus, when adding the power traces to try to remove noise, the noise pattern will be enhanced rather than averaged out. In this case, the differential power analyst must first determine the noise pattern and subtract it from each power trace before adding the power traces together. Every mismatch between the true noise pattern and the deduced pattern that is subtracted will then add together resulting in spurious spikes in the averaged trace. These spikes may successfully hide the true data spikes that the analyst is seeking.

10

15

20

25

30

In a further arrangement, the pseudo-noise generator 13 is clocked by the same clock as the processor 11, and the noise generator is initialised for each instruction sequence of the processor. In this way, the noise is substantially repeated. Adding a number of power traces together will result in a substantially constant noise signal. Some parts of the noise traces will add together and other parts will be cancelled out. Adding more traces or subtracting traces will not be effective at removing the noise component.

With reference to figure 2, the regulation of the current source I_{CC} will now be described.

In the preferred arrangement, the regulation of the current source 10 is performed automatically such that the average current I_{CC} (+ I_N if a noise current generator 13 is included) supplied by the current generator 10 will match the average current demand of the processor 11.

The current regulator adapts the operation of the current supply when the average current demand lpd of the processor varies over time.

The supply voltage V_{DD} is permitted to vary between an upper voltage level and a lower voltage level which are within the operating specification of the processor, such that the processor can be guaranteed to operate correctly. The current generator 10 must vary current level such that at the higher current level, the processor supply voltage V_{DD} tends to rise, and such that at the lower current level the processor supply voltage V_{DD} tends to fall. The upper level of V_{DD} could be fixed by a zener diode D (figure 1) to prevent damage to the processor.

10

20

25

30

In the preferred arrangement of figure 2, a current switch control circuit 20 is operative to switch the current source 12 between a first, higher current level and a second, lower current level. The first current level is sufficient to cause the voltage V_{DD} to rise under normal operation of the processor 11. The second current level is sufficient to cause the voltage V_{DD} to fall under normal operation of the processor 11.

A threshold detection circuit 23 monitors V_{DD} and detects a rise (or fall) of V_{DD} to the upper (or lower) threshold levels. Upon reaching the higher threshold voltage level, the current switch control circuit 20 switches the current supply I_{CC} to its second (lower) current level. Upon V_{DD} reaching the lower threshold voltage level, the current switch control circuit 20 switches the current supply 10 back to its first (higher) current level.

In a preferred arrangement, a timer circuit 22 is provided which is started when the upper threshold voltage is detected. The timer circuit 22 then determines the time period t for the processor supply voltage V_{DD} to reach the lower threshold voltage. The operation of this timer circuit 22 is illustrated graphically in figure 3.

The timer circuit 22 determines whether the time period t falls within a permissible window t_{max} to t_{min} . If the time period lies between t_{max} and t_{min} (example t_2), no action is taken. If the time period is less than t_{min} (example t_1), this is communicated to a current level setting circuit 21 which operates to increase the second (lower) current level. If the time period is greater than t_{max}

(example t_3), this is communicated to the current level setting circuit 21 which operates to decrease the second (lower) current level. Preferably, the adjustments to the current levels are made incrementally. The system will always move towards an operation condition in which the downward path of the saw tooth wave pattern of V_{DD} has a period between t_{max} and t_{min} .

7

A similar control arrangement may be applied, *mutatis mutandis*, to the first (upper) current level using the timing of the upward path of the saw tooth wave.

5

10

15

20

25

30

within predetermined bounds and the current source is controlled so as to vary the voltage output V_{DD} to the processor independently of the instantaneous power demand of the processor.

If the current demand of the processor increases significantly, it is possible that the first (upper) level current-is-insufficient to increase V_{DD} . If this occurs, an override circuit 24 may come into operation to override the normal operation of the current level setting circuit 21 and/or current switch control circuit 20.

For example, override circuit 24 may detect that V_{DD} remains below the lower voltage level for a predetermined time. If this occurs, the override circuit 24 may trigger the current level setting circuit 21 to set the highest possible current level. It may also be configured to prevent the current switch control circuit 20 from further switching or vary the switching period until V_{DD} has recovered.

Alternatively, override circuit 24 may sense a non-rising V_{DD} during a first (upper) level current phase and perform a similar action.

If the current demand of the processor decreases significantly, it is possible that the second (lower) level current is too high to decrease V_{DD} . If this occurs, the override circuit 24 may come into operation to override the normal operation of the current level setting circuit 21 and/or current switch control circuit 20.

For example, override circuit 24 may detect that V_{DD} remains above the higher voltage level for a predetermined time. If this occurs, the override

circuit 24 may trigger the current level setting circuit 21 to set the lowest possible current level. It might also prevent the current switch control circuit 20 from further switching or vary the switching period until V_{DD} has recovered.

Alternatively, override circuit 24 may sense a non-rising V_{DD} during a first (upper) level current phase and perform a similar action.

5

10

15

20

25

30

In an alternative embodiment, a fixed first (higher) current level may be used and only the second (lower) current level varied. In a still further embodiment, a fixed second (lower) current level may be used and only the first (upper) current level varied. The second (lower) current level may be as low as zero.

The zener diode D may be used to clamp the voltage and consume any surplus current. For low supply voltages of, for example 1.8 V, it may be difficult to obtain a good zener diode. In such a case, the zener diode D could be replaced with another voltage clamping arrangement, for example a voltage comparator and transistor.

In a general sense, it will be noted that the effect of the circuits described above is to cyclically apportion current that is drawn from an external supply rail V_{CC} between a processor 11 and a charge storage circuit 10 in such a manner the current drawn from the external supply V_{CC} varies independently of the instantaneous power demand of the processor. The control circuitry ensures, however, that the instantaneous and average power demands of the processor are always met.

The decoupling capacitor C filters out most of the high frequency variations in current supply $l_{\rm CC}$. The bi-level constant current source 12 producing $l_{\rm CC}$ also decreases any high frequency variation in the external supply current drawn from supply rail $V_{\rm CC}$ as a result of critical data switching operations within the processor 11. The capacitor C also suppresses voltage spikes on the supply voltage that may temporarily shut off the current source, because the capacitor maintains current supply to the processor 11. This also applies to voltage spikes that are induced by an attacker to influence the processor's activity. This may include spikes that are purposefully timed by an

attacker so as to prevent a critical operation of the processor being performed and thereby cause leakage of useful information.

Broader spikes or interruptions in the power supply V_{CC}, for which the capacitor C is unable to sustain power to the processor 11 are conventionally dealt with by appropriate processor reset circuitry (not shown).

5

10

15

20

25

30

For additional security, the internal oscillator of the processor 11 should be made immune from influence by external factors, such as varying the voltage supply V_{CC} . Supply voltage variations outside certain predefined limits preferably will initiate processor or system reset using control circuitry known in the art.

The repeating changes in the current source 12 output current I_{CC} makes triggering in a differential power analysis attack difficult. In addition, the varying speed of the processor 11 resulting from the saw tooth supply voltage V_{DD} means that power traces will not correctly align with one another, in that the time base will be varying from trace to trace.

The invention has been described with reference to an embodiment in which the current source 10 includes a bi-level constant current source 12, which results in a saw tooth supply voltage V_{CC} . It will be understood that the principles of the invention can also be effected using a current source 10 adapted to switch between multiple discrete levels, which would result in a supply voltage V_{DD} that has a very much more complex profile.

Similarly, the current source 10 may be adapted to vary output current continuously between two predetermined levels providing that a continuously varying voltage V_{DD} is achieved. The function of the cyclically varying output of the current source 12 is to ensure that the processor supply voltage V_{DD} varies over time as a function of some parameter which is not linked to instantaneous power demand of the processor.

It will be understood that for security against power analysis attacks on the processor 11, it is important that the voltage node V_{DD} is not accessible to an external probe. Therefore, the processor 11, capacitor C (or other charge storage device), and current source 10 are preferably integrated onto a single integrated circuit (or formed as separate devices within a single sealed device

package) for which there is no indication (direct or indirect) of the voltage V_{DD} provided at any of the output pins of the package.

Other embodiments are intentionally within the scope of the appended claims.



5

- 1. A data processing device including:
- a processor;
- a charge storage device coupled to the processor; and
- a current source for supplying the processor with operating current, and adapted to vary its output current independently of the instantaneous power demand of the processor.
- 10 2. The device of claim 1 in which the charge storage device comprises a capacitor in series with the current source, and across which the processor is connected in parallel.
- 3. The device of claim 1 or claim 2 in which the current source is adapted to periodically or aperiodically switch between two different current levels.
 - 4. The device of claim 1 or claim 2 in which the current source is adapted to periodically or aperiodically switch between multiple current levels.
 - 5. The device of claim 3 or claim 4 in which the interval between switching current levels is determined by an average power demand of the processor.
- 25 6. The device of claim 1 in which the current source comprises:
 - a first current source adapted to provide substantially constant current at at least two different current levels, the first current source switching between current levels on a periodic or aperiodic basis; and
- a second current source adapted to provide a noise current that varies on a random or pseudo-random basis.

- 7. The device of any preceding claim further including control means adapted to maintain the supply voltage to the processor between an upper voltage limit and a lower voltage limit.
- 8. The device of any preceding claim further including a zener diode adapted to maintain the supply voltage to the processor below an upper voltage limit.

5

20

- 9. The device of claim 7 in which the control means includes current switching means for switching the current source between a first, higher current level and a second, lower current level, the current switching being triggered by the supply voltage to the processor respectively reaching the lower voltage limit and the upper voltage limit.
- 15 10. The device of claim 9 further including a timer for determining a time period taken for the processor supply voltage to reach a lower voltage limit from an upper voltage limit, or vice versa.
 - 11. The device of claim 10 further including current setting means for varying the first current level and / or the second current level of the current source if the timer determines that the time period falls outside predetermined limits.
- 12. The device of claim 11 in which the current setting means raises
 the first current level if the timer determines that the time period for reaching the lower voltage limit falls below a first predetermined threshold.
 - 13. The device of claim 11 or claim 12 in which the current setting means reduces the first current level if the timer determines that the time period for reaching the lower voltage limit exceeds a second predetermined threshold.

- 14. The device of claim 11 in which the current setting means reduces the second current level if the timer determines that the time period for reaching the upper voltage limit falls below a first predetermined threshold.
- 15. The device of claim 11 or claim 12 in which the current setting means raises the second current level if the timer determines that the time period for reaching the upper voltage limit exceeds a second predetermined threshold.
- 16. The device of claim 9 in which the control means includes means for temporarily inhibiting the current switching means if the supply voltage to the processor fails to move towards the desired upper or lower voltage limit.
 - clock, the frequency of which is dependent upon the supply voltage to the processor.
 - 18. The device of any preceding claim in which the processor is a cryptographic processor.
 - 19. The device of any preceding claim incorporated into a smart card.
- 20. A method of operating a data processing device, comprising the steps of:

drawing current from an external supply;

cyclically apportioning drawn current between a charge storage device and a processor within the data processing device such that the drawn current varies independently of the instantaneous power demand of the processor.

21. The method of claim 20 further including the step of using the drawn current to generate a current flow to the processor and the charge

30

5

15

storage device, that is periodically or aperiodically switched between two different current levels.

- 22. The method of claim 20 further including the step of using the drawn current to generate a current flow to the processor and the charge storage device, that is periodically or aperiodically switched between multiple different current levels.
- 23. The method of claim 21 or claim 22 further including the step of determining the interval between switching according to an average power demand of the processor.
 - 24. The method of claim 20 further including the steps of:

15

20

25

30

using a first current source to deliver substantially constant current at at least two different current levels, switching the first current source between current levels on a periodic or aperiodic basis;

using a second current source to provide a superposed current that varies on a random or pseudo-random basis and

delivering the combined current of the first and second current sources to the processor and the charge storage device.

- 25. The method of any one of claims 20 to 24 further including the step of maintaining a supply voltage to the processor between an upper voltage limit and a lower voltage limit.
- 26. The method of claim 25 further including the step of switching a current source between a first, higher current level and a second, lower current level, when the supply voltage to the processor respectively reaches the lower voltage limit and the upper voltage limit.
 - 27. The method of claim 26 further including the steps of:

determining a time period taken for the processor supply voltage to reach a lower voltage limit from an upper voltage limit, or vice versa, and

varying the first current level and / or the second current level of the current source if the time period falls outside predetermined limits.

5

10

15

- 28. The method of claim 27 further including the step of raising the first current level if the time period for reaching the lower voltage limit falls below a first predetermined threshold.
- 29. The method of claim 27 or claim 28 further including the step of reducing the first current level if the time period for reaching the lower voltage limit exceeds a second predetermined threshold.
- 30. The method of claim 27 further including the step of reducing the second current level if the time period for reaching the upper voltage limit falls below a first predetermined threshold.
- 31. The method of claim 27 or claim 28 further including the step of raising the second current level if the time period for reaching the upper voltage limit exceeds a second predetermined threshold.
- 32. The method of claim 26 further including the step of temporarily inhibiting the current switching if the supply voltage to the processor fails to move towards the desired upper or lower voltage limit.

25

- 33. The method of claim 20 further including the step of controlling the frequency of operation of the processor as a function of the supply voltage to the processor.
- 30
- 34. A data processing device substantially as described herein with reference to the accompanying drawings.



35. A method of operating a data processing device substantially as described herein with reference to the accompanying drawings.

17



ABSTRACT

CURRENT SOURCE FOR CRYPTOGRAPHIC PROCESSOR


5

10


To provide increased security against differential power analysis attacks, a data processing device is provided with a current converter that draws current from an external supply and cyclically apportions drawn current between a charge storage device and a processor such that the drawn current varies independently of the instantaneous power demand of the processor. The data processing device includes: a processor; a charge storage device coupled to the processor; and a current source for supplying the processor with operating current, and adapted to vary its output current independently of the instantaneous power demand of the processor.

[Fig. 1]












Fig. 3

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS	
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.